ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT Section 6 – Evaluation / Justification of the Project

Section 6 Evaluation / Justification of the Project

6 - 1

This section concludes the assessment of the proposed Sunnyside Coal Project. The key assessment requirements (identified by the Director-General's requirements) and other issues identified as having higher unmitigated risk rankings (Section 3.3) are reassessed based on the implementation of the proposed safeguards, controls and mitigation measures and a residual risk level determined. The Project is then evaluated based on the residual risk posed and in consideration of ecologically sustainable development (ESD) principles.

A justification for the Project is then provided based on the residual impacts of the Project, the likely economic and social benefits that would be generated and the consequences locally, regionally and nationally of the Project not proceeding.



This page has intentionally been left blank

oec 🗸



6.1 INTRODUCTION

As a conclusion to the *Environmental Assessment*, the development and operation of the Sunnyside Coal Project is evaluated and justified through consideration of its potential impacts on the environment and potential benefits to the local and wider community.

Project evaluation has been undertaken by firstly reassessing the risks posed to the local environment by project activities, following consideration of the proposed controls, safeguards and/or mitigation measures to be implemented by Namoi Mining Pty Ltd (NMPL) and summarised in Section 5. The Project has also been evaluated against the principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) in order to provide further guidance as to the acceptability of the Project, as presented in the *Environmental Assessment*.

Section 6.3, which presents the justification of the Project, revisits the predicted residual impacts on the biophysical environment, considers the socio-economic benefits which would be provided and assesses the consequences of not proceeding with the Project.

6.2 EVALUATION OF THE PROJECT

6.2.1 Residual Environmental Risk and Impacts

Following consideration of the proposed operational safeguards, controls and mitigation that would be implemented by NMPL as part of the Project design, **Table 6.1** reassesses the mitigated risk associated with each of the potential environmental impacts identified in Section 3.3. It is noted that in some cases a "Nil" residual risk rating has been allocated as the assessment recorded in Section 4B has determined that the impact would not occur.

Potential Environmental Impacts (see Table 3.5)	Level / Scale of Impact (if applicable)	Unmitigated Risk Rating	Consequence of Occurrence if Mitigated	Likelihood of Occurrence if Mitigated	Residual Risk Rating
	Groundwat	er		1	I
Groundwater	Contamination requiring minor recovery works	L	2	E	L
Pollution by leaking/spilt pollutant	Contamination requiring major recovery works	н	3	D	м
Drawdown of groundwater levels	Significant drawdown (>2m) beyond 100m of the Project Site boundary	м	3	E	м
	Major drawdown (>10m) beyond 100m of the Project Site boundary	н			Ν
	Significant drawdown (>2m) beyond 500m of the Project Site boundary	н			N
	Significant drawdown (>10m) beyond 500m of the Project Site boundary	E			N
Impacts on Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems		M			N

 Table 6.1

 Analysis of Mitigated Environmental Risk

Consequence of Occurrence: 1 = Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Major; 5 = Catastrophi **Likelihood of Occurrence**: A = Almost Certain; B = Likely; C = Possible; D = Unlikely; E = Rare **Risk Rating**: E = Extreme; H = High; M = Moderate; L = Low; N = Nil.





Page 1 of 6

Table 6.1 (Cont'd) Analysis of Mitigated Environmental Risk

Potential Environmental Impacts (see Table 3.5)	Level / Scale of Impact (if applicable)	Unmitigated Risk Rating	Consequence of Occurrence if Mitigated	Likelihood of Occurrence if Mitigated	Residua Risk Rating
	Air Quality		L	I	
Nuisance - deposited dust	Deposited dust levels attributable to the Project occasionally (for one or two months every year) above DECC guideline, affects only adjacent landholders.	Μ	2	D	L
	Deposited dust levels attributable to the Project regularly (exceedances greater than DECC guideline for >5 months per year) affects landholders some distance from the Project Site.	н	3	E	М
Joolth DM	PM ₁₀ levels attributable to the Project occasionally (once every 1 to 2 years) above the Project goal, affects only adjacent landholders.	М	3	D	М
Health - PM ₁₀	PM ₁₀ levels attributable to the Project occasionally (>5 times per year) above the Project goal, affects landholders some distance from Project Site.	н	3	Е	М
Greenhouse Ga	s Emissions	Н			L
	Erosion and Sedim	nentation			
	Minor gully erosion of drainage lines, stockpiles or created slopes	н	2	D	L
Soil erosion	Minor sheet or gully erosion of rehabilitated landform	М	2	D	L
Sediment Load	Major gully or sheet erosion formation One-off discharge of dirty water from the Project Site	н н	3	D	M M
and Turbidity	Regular discharge of dirty water from the Project Site	н			N
	Surface Water/Flooding	and Drainage	9		
Reduced natural surface water	Reduced productivity of downstream grazing lands	L	2	D	L
lows	Stressing of downstream native vegetation due to restricted flows	L	2	D	L
Reduced quality of downstream waters	Isolated and minor event resulting in temporary degradation of water quality in local creeks and tributaries, eg. Minor and one-off discharge of hydrocarbon	М	2	С	м
	Continuing discharge of contaminated water resulting in ongoing degradation of water quality in local creeks and tributaries, eg. frequent/periodic discharge of dirty water	н	4	E	н
	Isolated and major event resulting in temporary but wider spread degradation of water quality, eg. Large discharge of hydrocarbons	М	3	D	М
	Repeated major event resulting in long-term and wide spread degradation of water quality, eg. continued discharge of dirty or contaminated water	н	4	E	н
	flooding patterns and indirect impacts on native nunities and ecosystems.	М	3	E	м

oec 🖌



Section 6 – Evaluation / Justification of the Project

Table 6.1 (Cont'd) Analysis of Mitigated Environmental Risk

Potential Environmental Impacts (see Table 3.5)	Level / Scale of Impact (if applicable)	Unmitigated Risk Rating	Consequence of Occurrence if Mitigated	Likelihood of Occurrence if Mitigated	Residual Risk Rating
	Threatened Flora ar	nd Fauna			
Loss of, or alteration to,	Disturbance to native vegetation / habitat within nominated areas	L	2	E	L
existing habitats.	Disturbance to native vegetation / habitat outside nominated areas	М	3	D	м
Direct adverse impact on	Disturbance to threatened flora / fauna and endangered communities	н			N
threatened species.	Disturbance leading to local population reduction	н			N
species.	Disturbance leading to local extinction(s)	E			Ν
Reduced	Local biodiversity	М	3	D	М
biodiversity	Regional biodiversity	н			Ν
	Noise and Vibra	ation			
levels	Occasional minor exceedance of noise criteria (1-2dB(A))	н	2	Α	н
associated with Project Site	Regular minor exceedance of noise criteria (1- 2dB(A))	н	2	D	L
activities causing	Occasional marginal exceedance of noise criteria (3-5dB(A))	н	2	с	м
annoyance, distractions, ie.	Regular marginal exceedance of noise criteria (3-5dB(A))	н	2	D	L
amenity impacts.	Occasional major exceedance of noise criteria (>5dB(A))	E	2	с	м
	Regular major exceedance of noise criteria (>5dB(A))	E	4	E	н
Increased noise	Occasional minor exceedance of noise criteria (1-2dB(A))	М	2	D	L
	Regular minor exceedance of noise criteria (1-2dB(A))	м	3	D	м
Project traffic activities causing annoyance, distractions, ie. amenity impacts.	Occasional marginal exceedance of noise criteria (3-5dB(A))	М	2	E	L
	Regular marginal exceedance of noise criteria (3-5dB(A))	м	3	E	М
	Occasional major exceedance of noise criteria (>5dB(A))	м	3	E	м
	Regular major exceedance of noise criteria (>5dB(A))	М			N
Maximum noise	levels resulting in sleep disturbance.	н			Ν
	levels associated with the Project leading to aral production, ie. impacts on livestock.	М	3	D	м
Noise and Vibration from blasting impacting on local amenity		М	3	D	М
Noise and Vibration from blasting impacting on local livestock		М	2	D	L
Vibration from bl	asting resulting in damage to Non-Project- and structures	М	3	E	м
Likelihood of O	f Occurrence: 1 = Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = ccurrence: A = Almost Certain; B = Likely; C = = Extreme; H = High; M = Moderate; L = Low;	Possible; D			



6 - 5

Table 6.1 (Cont'd) Analysis of Mitigated Environmental Risk

	Analysis of Mitigated Env	/ironmental	RISK		Page 4 of 6
Potential Environmental Impacts (see Table 3.5)	Level / Scale of Impact (if applicable)	Unmitigated Risk Rating	Consequence of Occurrence if Mitigated	Likelihood of Occurrence if Mitigated	Residual Risk Rating
	Traffic and Trar	nsport			
Increased traffic	congestion	M	2	D	L
	levels at residences along proposed coal	See "noise and vibration"			
transport route					
Road pavement		Н	3	В	Н
	Minor accident – no injury	M	2	D	L
Elevated risk of	Minor accident – minor injury	М	2	D	L
accident/incident	Major accident –moderate injuries requiring hospitalisation	н	4	E	н
	Severe accident – severe injuries or death injury	н	4	E	н
	Rehabilitation, Final Landform	& Biodiversit	y Offsets		
Reduced access	to agricultural lands.	L	1	С	L
Increase in areas	s designated for native vegetation conservation	n/a			Ν
	Aboriginal Her	itage		·	
heritage as a res	ied sites and/or artefacts of Aboriginal cultural sult of the proposed construction and mining hout the permission of LALC or DECC	E			Ν
Impact on unidentified sites and/or artefacts of Aboriginal cultural heritage as a result of subsidence and without the permission of LALC or DECC		н	4	E	н
	European Her	itage			
Impact on identif	ied sites of European cultural heritage	L			Ν
	Waste Manage	ment			
Contamination	Contamination requiring minor recovery works	L	2	D	L
by waste oil.	Contamination requiring major recovery works	М	3	D	М
•	Acid generation from overburden used in construction of overburden emplacements.				N
Reduced amenit management	y of Project Site due to poor rubbish, litter	L	2	D	L
	Soil and Land Ca	pability			
Insufficient soil q	uantities for rehabilitation.	M			Ν
Reduced soil	Reduced soil quality	М	3	D	М
quality	Degradation of soil quality	M	3	D	М
Elevated erosion	or erosion potential.	M	3	D	M
Decreased land and agricultural capability of the final landform		H	2	C	M
	Visual Amen				
Reduced	Temporary disturbance to landform	H	2	Α	Н
	Marginally identifiable change to landscape	н	2	B	н
altered Project	Highly identifiable change to landscape	н	2	D	L
			£		
mpacts on the effectiveness of the Siding Springs Observatory L - N Consequence of Occurrence: 1 = Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Major; 5 = Catastrophic Likelihood of Occurrence: A = Almost Certain; B = Likely; C = Possible; D = Unlikely; E = Rare Risk Rating: E = Extreme; H = High; M = Moderate; L = Low; N = Nil.					

oec 🗸

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Section 6 – Evaluation / Justification of the Project

Table 6.1 (Cont'd) Analysis of Mitigated Environmental Risk

Potential			_		ge 5 of 6
Environmental Impacts (see Table 3.5)	Level / Scale of Impact (if applicable)	Unmitigated Risk Rating	Consequence of Occurrence if Mitigated	Likelihood of Occurrence if Mitigated	Residual Risk Rating
	Rehabilitation, Final Landform &	& Biodiversity	y Offsets		
	to agricultural lands.	М	2	С	М
Increase in area	s designated for native vegetation conservation	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Bushfire		I		
Initiation of fire	Minor disturbance to Project Site lands and equipment resulting in temporary suspension of operations	L	2	D	L
leading to impacts on the Project Site	Major damage to Project Site lands and equipment resulting in long-term or complete suspension of operations	н	3	D	М
	Impacts on health and safety of Project personnel	н	4	E	н
Initiation of fire	Minor disturbance to lands and property external to the Project Site	L	2	D	L
	Major disturbance to lands and property external to the Project Site.	Н	4	E	н
the Project Site	Impacts on health and safety of local landowners, residents and general public	Н	5	E	н
Damage to build	ings / structures	e M	3	Е	м
-	ice and groundwater flows	M	3	E	M
Increased erosic	-				
	ultural value of affected land	L	2	E	L
Damage to Abor		L	1	E	L
Damage to Abor	Land Contamin		3	E	М
Transfer of	Small area affected (<0.01ha)	L	1	Е	L
contaminated material	Large area affected (>0.01ha)	м	3	E	м
Contamination	Minor and temporary contamination of water quality in local creeks and tributaries	М	2	D	L
of surface water as a result of	Minor and continuing contamination of water quality in local creeks and tributaries	м	2	D	L
exposing contaminated	Major and temporary contamination of water quality in local creeks and tributaries	М	3	D	м
lands	Major and continuing contamination of water quality in local creeks and tributaries	н	4	E	н
	Spontaneous Com	hbustion	-	•	
Injury sustained	Minor injury Moderate injury requiring first aid	M	1 2	C C	
as a consequence of fire				-	M
	Severe injury or death	H	3	D	M
-	Small fire within Project Site	н	5	E	н
Impacts on native flora and fauna in the event of fire spreading beyond coal stockpiles	-	L	1	С	L
	Moderate fire extending beyond the Project Site	М	3	D	м
	Large fire extending far beyond the Project Site	н	4	Е	н
Likelihood of O	f Occurrence: 1 = Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = ccurrence: A = Almost Certain; B = Likely; C = = Extreme; H = High; M = Moderate; L = Low;	= Possible; D			





6 - 7

Table 6.1 (Cont'd)
Analysis of Mitigated Environmental Risk

					Page 6 of 6
Potential Environmental Impacts (see Table 3.5)	Level / Scale of Impact (if applicable)	Unmitigated Risk Rating	Consequence of Occurrence if Mitigated	Likelihood of Occurrence if Mitigated	Residual Risk Rating
	Socio-economic Impacts an	d Property Va	alues		
Improved economic activity and related social impacts attributable to reduced unemployment		n/a			N
Reduced quality of life (actual or perceived)		M	3	D	М
	Temporary decrease in property values	M	3	D	М
Reduced property values	Moderate term decrease in property values	н	3	E	Н
property values	Long term decrease in property values	н	4	E	н
Likelihood of Oc	Occurrence : 1 = Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = ccurrence: A = Almost Certain; B = Likely; C = Extreme; H = High; M = Moderate; L = Low;	= Possible; D			

Through the implementation of the proposed controls, safeguards and mitigation measures summarised in Section 5, the risk rating for the majority of potential environmental impacts has been reduced to either a moderate or low risk rating.

In some cases, a rating is no longer provided as the relevant assessment recorded in Section 4B determined the likelihood to be so low, or consequence so insignificant, as to be virtually non-existent. This approach was taken generally to avoid suggesting a significance that does not exist.

Further consideration is given to the potential impacts which retain a "high" risk rating as follows.

• Impacts on downstream water quality resulting from continuing contamination.

The impacts of ongoing discharges of contaminated water would be a high risk for downstream water quality. NMPL would implement appropriate management systems on site to ensure that discharge of contaminated materials is unlikely.

• Impacts resulting from increased noise during construction and operation causing annoyance and distractions.

Due to the location, topography and climatic aspects of the Project Site, it is predicted that there would be minor and medium exceedances of some noise criteria. These would be closely related to wind speed direction and strength and NMPL would manage this issue by developing and implementing a Noise Management Plan.

• Impacts associated with road pavement deterioration.

NMPL would enter into a road maintenance contribution agreement with Gunnedah Shire Council to address additional wear and tear on the local road system resulting from the increased number of trucks transporting coal between the Sunnyside Coal Mine and the Whitehaven Rail Loading Facility.





• Major or severe accident resultant from the transportation of coal from the Project Site.

While every precaution has been and would be taken by NMPL in relation to the design of traffic management and adoption of a driver's Code of Conduct, the potential consequence of a major or severe accident is such that a high risk rating applies.

• Impacts on health and safety and on flora and fauna as a consequence of a bushfire extending well beyond the limits of the Project Site.

While considered a potentially rare occurrence, the consequence could be major and as such a high risk rating applies despite the incorporation of Project safeguards which would minimise the potential for fire on the Project Site.

• Impact on unidentified sites and/or artefacts of Aboriginal cultural heritage as a result of the proposed construction and mining activities and without the permission of LALC or DECC.

The accidental disturbance to an unidentified Aboriginal artefact or site cannot be categorically ruled out and therefore, even though the potential likelihood is considered rare, as the potential consequence is major, a high risk rating is retained.

• Temporary disturbance to the existing landform and marginally identifiable change to the landscape.

While the potential consequence of the impact is considered insignificant, because it is considered almost certain to occur, the high risk rating applies.

• Severe injury or death resulting from an incident related to spontaneous combustion.

While every precaution has been and would be taken by NMPL in relation to management to minimise the likelihood of spontaneous combustion incidents, the potential consequence of an accident resulting from such an incident is such that a high risk rating applies.

• Moderate to long term reduced property values.

It is difficult to predict the medium to long term impacts on property values NMPL is predicting a neutral impact. The management procedures implemented by NMPL, including returning approximately 50% of the disturbed area to agricultural pursuits at the conclusion of mining, would reduce any such impacts and the short life span of the Project (5 to 7 years) would also mitigate against medium to long term impacts.



The risks associated with the majority of possible environmental impacts are considered moderate or less and therefore, while these may result in impacts deemed unacceptable to some stakeholders, the development and operation of the Project, with the implementation of appropriate management plans, are generally considered acceptable.

6.2.2 Ecologically Sustainable Development

6.2.2.1 Introduction

Sustainable practices by industry, all levels of government and the community are recognised to be important for the future prosperity and well-being of the world. The principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) that have been recognised for over a decade were based upon meeting the needs of the current generation while conserving our ecosystems for the benefit of future generations. In order to achieve sustainable development, recognition needs to be placed upon the integration of both short-term and long-term environmental, economic, social and equitable objectives.

Throughout the design of the Project, NMPL has endeavoured to address each of the sustainable development principles. The following subsections draw together the features of the Project that reflect the four principles of sustainable development, namely:

- the precautionary principle;
- the principle of social equity;
- the principle of the conservation of biodiversity and ecological integrity; and
- the principle for the improved valuation and pricing of environmental resources.

6.2.2.2 The Precautionary Principle

In order to satisfy this principle of ESD, emphasis must be placed on anticipation and prevention of environmental damage, rather than reacting to it. During the planning phase for the Project and throughout the preparation of the *Environmental Assessment*, NMPL engaged specialist consultants to examine the existing environment, predict possible impacts and recommend controls, safeguards and/or mitigation measures in order to ensure that the level of impact satisfies statutory requirements or reasonable community expectations. Throughout the design of the Project, NMPL and its consultants have adopted an anticipatory approach to impacts, particularly that of irreversible ecological damage, by undertaking an analysis of the risks posed by activities of the Project, an appropriate level of research and baseline investigations and environmental evaluation. The controls, safeguards and/or mitigation measures have therefore been planned with a comprehensive knowledge of the existing environment and the potential risk of environmental degradation posed by Project activities.

The implementation of the environmental safeguards, controls and mitigation measures has been formalised by NMPL as the Draft Statement of Commitments presented as Section 5.

Examples of matters relating to the precautionary principle that were considered during the various stages of the Project are listed below.

oec 🖌



Objectives of the Project

The Project has been designed with the principal objective to develop and operate the mine in a safe and environmentally responsible manner which meets the requirements of local and State government agencies, accepted industry standards and wherever possible, reasonable community expectations. NMPL recognises that only through comprehensive *environmental assessment* and an environmentally responsible approach to the design and operation of the proposed development can the risk of harm to the environment be minimised.

Design of Project Components

Several design aspects of the Project were modified during the planning stage in order to ensure the requirements of local and State government agencies, accepted industry standards and wherever possible, reasonable community expectations were met. These included the following.

- Re-scheduling of the progressive open pit development from west to east to mitigate environmental impacts on the "Lilydale" residence.
- Coocooboonah Lane was realigned to avoid destruction of remnant core Koala habitat.
- Rehabilitation of the site incorporates enhances and expands Koala habitat.
- A 15m high amenity bund around the coal processing area was designed to maximise the use of mined rock from the box cut while visually and acoustically screening activities from neighbouring residences.
- The final landform was designed to provide for the re-establishment of considerable areas of land suitable for grazing whilst integrating the conservation of areas of native vegetation.
- Assessment of various options of the coal transport route to minimise impact on the local residences and the environment.

Integration of Safeguards and Procedures

The framework for ongoing environmental management, operational performance and rehabilitation of the Project Site would be provided through the Project approval and be managed in accordance with the DPI-MR Mining, Rehabilitation and Environmental Management Process, both of which would involve the input from relevant State and local government agencies. The Mining Operations Plan, which would contain a range of site specific environmental procedures to achieve consistency with specified outcomes and to control identified risks, would be updated periodically, while the Annual Environmental Management Report which would report on the progress of the operation and provide an opportunity to review the effectiveness of the environmental management strategies adopted. In addition:

• all on-site procedures would be regularly reviewed, particularly in light of monitoring results;





- surface water, groundwater, noise, and deposited dust levels would be monitored at locations potentially most affected by the Project in order to ensure the continued compliance with the goals outlined in this document;
- the principles outlined in the surface water management section of the *Environmental Assessment* (Section 4B.4) would be adopted to minimise any impact on water quality or quantity exiting the Pit Top Area;
- wherever possible, areas not required for mining-related activities would remain grassed to assist in minimising erosion and reducing the suspended sediment load in surface water flowing through the Project Site; and
- topsoil and subsoil would be stripped, stockpiled and re-spread on the basis of the quality of the soil (as indicated by the soil mapping unit), and planned final land use of different areas of the final landform.

Rehabilitation and Subsequent Land Use

Long term adverse impacts on the local environment would be avoided through the design and rehabilitation of a landform suitable for future use for agriculture and the establishment / maintenance of areas of native vegetation and Koala habitat / movement corridors.

Conclusion

The precautionary principle has been considered during all stages of the design and assessment of the Sunnyside Coal Project. The approach adopted, ie. risk analysis, initial assessment, consultation, specialist investigations and safeguard design, provides a high degree of certainty that the Project would not result in any major unforeseen impacts.

6.2.2.3 Social Equity

Social equity embraces value concepts of justice and fairness so that the basic needs of all sectors of society are met and there is a fair distribution of costs and benefits to the community. Social equity includes for both inter-generational (between generations) and intra-generational (within generations) equity considerations.

Equity within generations requires that the economic and social benefits of the development be distributed appropriately among all members of the community. Equity between generations requires that the non-material well-being or "quality of life" of existing and future residents of the local community would be maintained throughout and beyond the life of the Project.

Both elements of social equity are addressed through the design of the Project itself, the implementation of operational safeguards to mitigate any short-term or long-term environmental impacts, and the proposed rehabilitation of the areas directly disturbed. Examples of matters relating to social equity that are relevant to the various stages of the proposed development are listed below.

oec 🖌



Identification of Project Objectives

The Project has been designed with the objective of providing significant employment opportunities to residents of Gunnedah Shire. This objective would require a commitment to employee training. Consideration has also been given to the ability of the Gunnedah Shire to accommodate a development of the scale proposed.

The Project has been designed with the objective to ensure the continued viability of surrounding land uses throughout and beyond the life of the Project.

Design of Project Components

The Project has been designed to maintain inter-generational equity, ie. in recognition that mining is a relatively short-term land use, and to ensure components of the existing biological, social and economic environment available to existing generations would also be available to future generations.

Integration of Safeguards and Procedures

Local community stakeholders were consulted to ensure adequate facilities and an appropriate level of services would be available to the Project and employees such that access of the local community to these facilities and services could be maintained.

NMPL recognises that all members of the local Gunnedah community should benefit appropriately from the Project either directly or indirectly. In order to ensure a realistic distribution of benefits, NMPL would continue to consult with the local community and maintain a pro-active approach to issues of interest. This dialogue would also include a system to record, manage and respond to any complaints relating to the operation.

Rehabilitation and Subsequent Land Use

The final landform would be constructed and rehabilitated in a manner that would generally retain approximately 50% of the land disturbed with an agricultural capability similar to that prior to mining, thereby providing the basis for continuing economic activity within the local community. There would be a reduction in agricultural capability for the rehabilitated out-of-pit overburden emplacement and final void areas. The new and enhanced Koala corridors would also reduce agricultural capability, however, this is recognised to have worthwhile long-term benefits.

Conclusion

The principle of social equity has been addressed throughout the design of the Project. The Sunnyside Coal Project would contribute significantly to the economic activity of Gunnedah and the communities of the Gunnedah Shire through the generation of employment and increased demand for local goods and services and flow-on effects. As such, the benefits of the Project would be distributed throughout the local community. The Project was also designed such that elements of the existing environment available to this generation, including agricultural land, water and local biodiversity would continue to be available to future generations. NMPL would adopt a pro-active approach in identifying and addressing any concerns identified by the local community.





6.2.2.4 Conservation of Biological Diversity and Ecological Integrity

The protection of biodiversity and maintenance of ecological processes and systems are central goals of sustainability. It is important that developments do not threaten the integrity of the ecological system as a whole or the conservation of threatened species in the short- or long-term. Details of how the Project has been designed to achieve compliance with these principles are set out below.

Identification of Project Objectives

NMPL is committed to undertake all activities in an environmentally responsible manner, and recognises the need to ensure that changes to natural components of the environment do not adversely affect biological diversity or ecological integrity. As such, the Project has been designed with an objective to minimise impacts on the flora and fauna of the Project Site, whilst allowing the extraction of an economically viable resource.

Design of Project Components

- Water management structures have been designed and would be constructed to ensure that only water within DECC specified criteria is pumped in the Gunnedah Coal Mine N° 5 Entry underground workings.
- The in-pit overburden placement regime has been planned to allow for overburden placement at either one of two levels, the upper level when meteorological conditions (winds / inversions) are favourable and the lower level when the meteorological conditions are unfavourable.

Integration of Safeguards and Procedures

- Post-mining rehabilitation of the Pit Top Area would include the establishment of Koala habitat and movement corridors.
- Weed eradication programs would be developed and implemented, as required.

Rehabilitation and Subsequent Land Use

The final landform has been designed primarily to provide for some agricultural activity but with the establishment of some native vegetation and fauna habitat included.

Conclusion

The Project would have little impact on local or regional biodiversity. This notwithstanding, disturbance to areas of native vegetation would be minimised wherever possible. Weed eradication programs would be implemented as appropriate and would further assist in addressing the principle of sustainable development.

oec 🖌



6.2.2.5 Improved Valuation and Pricing of Environmental Resources

The issues that form the basis of this principle relate to the acceptance that the polluter pays, all resources are appropriately valued, cost-effective environmental stewardship is adopted and the adoption of user-pays principle based upon the full life cycle of the costs. A reflection of these issues on the proposed Sunnyside Coal Project is set out below.

Identification of Project Objectives

NMPL's principal objective is to operate the mine in a profitable, safe and environmentally responsible manner, which demonstrates that an appropriate value has been placed on elements of the existing environment.

Design of Project Components and Integration of Safeguards and Procedures

The extent of research, planning and design of environmental safeguards, mitigation measures and offset strategies to prevent irreversible damage to environmental resources, other than the coal to be mined, is evidence of the value placed by NMPL on these resources.

Rehabilitation and Subsequent Land Use

The design of the final landform to integrate ongoing agricultural activities with the reestablishment of native vegetation illustrates the value placed by NMPL on both the agricultural and ecological elements of the Project Site.

Conclusion

The value placed by NMPL on environmental resources is evident in the identification of Project objectives, extent of site-specific research, planning and environmental safeguards and measures to be implemented to prevent irreversible damage to the environment on and surrounding the Project Site. It is planned that the income received from the sale of the coal would be sufficient to enable NMPL to achieve an acceptable profit level whilst undertaking all environmentally-related tasks and meeting all commitments in all consents, leases, licences and approvals and those made to the local community.

6.2.2.6 Conclusion

The approach taken in planning the Project has been multi-disciplinary, involved consultation with potentially affected local residents and various government agencies and emphasis on the application of safeguards to minimise potential environmental, social and economic impacts. The design of the Project has addressed each of the sustainable development principles, and on balance, it is concluded that the Sunnyside Coal Project achieves a sustainable outcome for the local and wider environment.



6.3 JUSTIFICATION OF THE PROJECT

6.3.1 Introduction

In assessing whether the development and operation of the Project is justified, consideration has been given both to the predicted residual impacts on the local and wider environment and the potential benefits the Project would have for NMPL, Gunnedah and Gunnedah Shire, NSW and Australia. When considering the predicted residual impacts, a review of the proposed controls, safeguards and mitigation measures of NMPL was also undertaken to determine the emphasis placed on impact minimisation and the incorporation of the principles of ESD.

This section also considers the consequences of the Project not proceeding.

6.3.2 Biophysical Considerations

Section 4B presents the range of residual impacts on the biophysical environment predicted should the Project proceed, after the adoption of a number of design and operational procedures, mitigation measures and/or offset strategies. The Project would have a range of impacts on the biophysical environment. The residual impacts considered of greatest significance, and the proposed management of these, are summarised as follows.

Water Resources

A proportion of the surface water currently flowing through the Pit Top Area would be retained on site for use in dust suppression. The "clean" water component captured would be within the maximum harvestable right for the Project Site, with additional clean water diverted to natural watercourses. Sediment-laden or "dirty" water originating from disturbed areas would be collected and preferentially used for dust suppression. Any excess dirty water would be retained to allow sufficient time for suspended solids to settle out and enable it to be discharged within DECC criteria.

During the life of the Project, the groundwater level within several of the lower geological formations would be lowered. However, as the drawdown would be confined to the near vicinity of the open cut pit and the water in these rock units is not generally accessed by local groundwater users, an insignificant impact was predicted in only two non-Project-related registered bores within 5km of the Project Site.

Soils and Land Capability

Impacts on the soils of the Project Site would be temporary and manageable given the procedures intended to stockpile and revegetate all soils.

Flora and Fauna

Disturbance to native vegetation and fauna habitats would be limited given the largely cleared nature of the Project Site and avoidance of disturbance to the more sensitive remnant communities along Coocooboonah Lane and the woodlands on the southern sector of the "Sunnyside" property.

oec 🖌

NAMOI MINING PTY LTD Sunnyside Coal Project, via Gunnedah Report No. 675/01

Aboriginal Heritage

None of the four Aboriginal heritage sites identified on the Project Site would be directly impacted upon by NMPL's activities. It would, however, be necessary to protect the axe grinding groove from flyrock (using protective straw bales) and ground vibration from blasting (by limiting the maximum instantaneous charge). NMPL is committed to ensuring that any artefacts or sites of Aboriginal heritage significance that may be identified in the future are appropriately protected and/or managed.

6 - 17

Noise

The Project would generate noise levels over and above those currently experienced throughout the existing environment. These noise levels, assuming the implementation of the operational commitments identified in Section 5, would at times exceed the DECC nominated criteria. NMPL would develop and implement a Noise Management Plan to mitigate or minimise the predicted exceedances.

Air Quality

Air pollutant levels are predicted to be below DECC criteria for deposited dust, PM₁₀ and PM₂₅ at all Non-Project-related residences, ie. assuming the adoption of a range of standard dust control measures. Greenhouse gas emissions would only lead to a minor increase in Australiawide and International emissions.

Visibility

Activities on the Project Site would be noticeable when viewed from Coocooboonah Lane and adjoining properties although the construction and vegetation of an amenity bund around the coal processing area would assist to mitigate the on-site activities.

Traffic

Traffic would increase noticeably on the local roads along the coal transport route between the mine site and the Whitehaven Rail Loading Facility. Intersection and road surface upgrades would help to accommodate this increase. NMPL would enter a road maintenance contribution agreement with Gunnedah Shire Council for the maintenance of local roads during the life of the Project.

6.3.3 Socio-economic Considerations

The impacts of the Project on the socio-economic environment would be largely positive given the positive increase in employment opportunities, NMPL's commitment to employing local residents, the diversification of industry within the Gunnedah Shire and the flow-on effects to subsidiary and associated industries and businesses of the Project.





Gunnedah and Gunnedah Shire are also considered to have sufficient existing facilities and services to cater for the possible population growth. In any event, NMPL through its associated companies, has proven itself to be a significant contributor to the communities in which it has operated other mines, eg. Gunnedah, Boggabri and Werris Creek.

The Project would also have significant economic benefits to NSW and Australia through the payment of coal royalties, taxes and further establishment of export markets for Australian (and in particular, Gunnedah Basin) coal.

6.3.4 Consequences of not Proceeding with the Project

The consequences of not proceeding with the Project include the following.

- (i) The recoverable coal would not be mined by NMPL. Such an outcome would be contrary to the DPI-MR and NMPL's objective to maximise resource utilisation.
- (ii) The opportunity to create up to 36 full-time jobs would be foregone.
- (iii) The disposable wages for the full-time and part-time workforce would be foregone, a substantial proportion of which would be spent in the Gunnedah area.
- (iv) The opportunity to diversify industry within the Gunnedah Shire would be foregone along with the training opportunities proposed by NMPL. This loss of training opportunities would also reduce the ability of the local communities to retain younger people who are generally leaving to pursue greater opportunities elsewhere.
- (v) Foregoing PAYE taxes for the 5 year to 6 year life of the mine.
- (vi) Foregoing coal royalties and payments to State Authorities as well as export earnings which would help offset, at least in part, Australia's foreign debt.
- (vii) The minor impacts on the local biophysical environment would not eventuate.

It is considered that the benefits of proceeding with the Project therefore far outweigh the minor impacts on the environment that would result. The consequences of not proceeding with the Project also weigh heavily in favour of proceeding with the Sunnyside Coal Project.

6.4 CONCLUSION

The Sunnyside Coal Project has, to the extent feasible, been designed to address the issues of concern to the community and all levels of government. The Project provides for the mining, production, sale and despatch of a high quality coal product which would be significant in generating employment opportunities and boosting the local economies of Gunnedah and other surrounding communities. The development and operation of the Project would be a positive change to the economic base of Gunnedah Shire. The post-mining landform would integrate the re-establishment of agricultural land with areas designated for the conservation and extension of native vegetation and fauna habitat.





This document and the range of specialist consultant studies undertaken have identified that the Sunnyside Coal Project should proceed because it would:

- (i) contribute towards satisfying the demand for export quality coal;
- (ii) reduce risk levels associated with possible incidents and impacts on the environment to an acceptable level;
- (iii) have a minimal and manageable impact on the biophysical environment;
- (iv) satisfy sustainable development principles;
- (v) provide for continuing and future use of the Project Site for agriculture;
- (vi) provide new and enhanced Koala habitat and travel corridors;
- (vii) provide significant training and employment opportunities for residents of Gunnedah and surrounding communities;
- (viii) contribute to the diversification of industry within the Gunnedah Shire and promote a continued growth in economic activity in the Shire; and
- (ix) address the perceived social impacts.





This page has intentionally been left blank

oec 🗸

